



VOL. 2 NO. 6 EDITOR'S PAGE

Well we're a solid two feet into 1982 now, and things are looking up for the City of Brotherly Love. We see things rolling along at the for the City of Brotherly Love. We see things rolling along at the Elk, with Autistic Behavior and Terminal magazine each putting on shows of their own. Coming up this month, some other mysterious alternate producer of shows will present Flipper and No Milk (also, I ternate producer of shows will present Flipper and No Milk (also, I think, Executive Slacks) at this now infamous hall. Word has it, though, think, Executive Slacks) at this now infamous hall. Word has it, though, that this will be the last show if the vandalism that occured at other shows continues. So let's make sure we don't rule out this venue, gang—nab whoever it is that's trying to destroy a great thing. Long

live the Elk!!!

We've got two new clubs in town--Love at Broad and South is slightly reminiscent of Omni's (but the dance floor is even smaller.) It's a good place to hang out on weekend nights and get drunk. \$2 cover and beers are about \$1.50. The music is, though, a little too limited to sixties classics and funky-type stuff. I did thrash to the DK's "Cambodia" once, but on that same night I asked the dj to spin "Eve White/Eve Black" by Siouxsie and was told "I don't think it'd go over here..." That crowd would have danced to Auld Lang Syne if you played it at 45!!

Landmark Tavern at 20th and Fairmount has great, great possibilities. It too is small, but it's proprietors, Linda and Louis, are ballsy and full of ideas. Cover is usually \$3, beers are 50¢ on draft (!!!) and there's no dj--just lots of killer tapes and a pretty well-stocked juke box. When I went there to see the Seeds of Terror and Proteens, I had a fucking incredibly great time. The only drawback is the club doesn't own it's own PA (yet!) so the bands have to come up with their own.

Get out and support these venues, guys—they're vital to the survival of our scene. Don't EVEN bitch about having to travel an extra ten blocks—who cares when you're out to have a good time? You know, everyone biches and says how New York has such a great scene, but spend any time up there and you'll see they ain't got nothing we ain't got. There might be more punks but there's more poseurs too. Let's get some of them down here for a change...



Volume II

Number VI

*****\$I&EE.*****

Editor: Allison Raine Contributors:

Eves Drop
KT KINZ
Chastity 8.
Shirley
Kim Lewis
Al Danis
Autistic Behavior
Richard Metz
Matt the Ratt

Savage Pink is a Fuchsia Production

Address all mail to: 1248 Day Street Phila., PA 19125

All rights reserved
"Neither god nor master"

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

SP. I have really had it with you stooped (I mean Stoopid with two 05.) Shitfaces who call yourselves thinking you are fooling? ONLY Yourselves! Get off your high-horses and face reality jerkoffs. Don't you realize what hypocrites you really are? You fucking short your ridiculous politics down all our throws and ruin this whole music scene for everyone else. So fuckin' what if everyone else doesn't want to become trendy little anarchists" (HA HA HA) ?? DO FUCKING WHAT? Is it up to you to shove anarchy down our throats when we don't want to hear, your studidity? Are you really that marrow minded that because a band doesn't play 1-2-3-4-ANARCHY. -punk" (HA HA HA) rock then they aren't any good? You are all so screwed up and I feel sorry for slimey, lew-life meronic, idents! You've really lost the whole point hower! you? You just don't even realize it, and that is what is so sad because you just, it is too late, and babes, it's too fucking late. I remember when this music scene in OPAMY was no more than a cult of 20 people. That is right, only 20 people, and out of those 20 people it has grown to hundreds and hundreds, because of people. like Lee Paris and Bobby Startup. Who do you think started the Hot Club and the first, (and as far as I'm concerned the ONLY) punk band in Philly when you weren't even around - THE AUTISTICS: - Yet you have the balls to say these people do nothing for the punk scene! Who the hell do you think started it, you stubid little kiddles? - OH year you go around calling them NAZI'S when you don't even know what NAZI'SM is -!! I wouldn't advise getting political until you reach junior high school and learn what that phrase means. STOP trying to blame other people for your own mistakes. You only make yourself look even worse, if that's at all possible ... - his for your last issue, a comment on one skip Heller's letter, if all that jerr-sef has to worry about # is what albums whey of Pretty Poison traded in (980 (1980? OH GOU!) then he must lead a very exciting life!! Thanks Fir the into skip, it really meant alet. It was so nice of you to interm us of who is doing what! ARE YOU FOR REAL ?? Go Dlay on the Excress was accelerated. FOR REAL ?? Go play on the Express way and do us all a favor. , - Skippy, when we get a hold of you do you want to be chunky or smooth ?? SIA NARA and Aubrey GREY were the only ones who made sense. — The only good thing in your boring little fagzine was Informed Sources. At least they have intelligence, which is a trait that the rest of your so-called hard-cores lack. Your review of Suburban Exploits was really funny though. - You called them misunderstood? HA HA! HA! HURDLY MISWIDERSTOOD! Everyone Knews modulant get near that NANCY Hoese Hips if you offered me one billion dellars. Who could fuck that ugly piece of shit. I gurss that is why she has so many pets. AND thow many trucks ran over Pedrick's face? THEY FUCKING Suck! And they don't fool anyone anymore



with their posing. Glad to see they are gorse, won't miss them. As for you dykes, get your shit together, before someone tears it out or you. I am sick of all this shit and so are lots of other people. It is time to have fun aguir and if you don't like it, get the fuck out
of the city. You don't belong here anyway
you insecure little creeps if all you are going to
do is try and ruin everything. > EAT SHIT - Please! P.S. Who cares about LIVE SIA MARA! __ University City

I debated whether or not to print this letter for quite some time, because frankly, it has nothing to say. Empty accusations and meaningless insults abound, but no concrete basis for all the spewing is ever established. One recent letter (which I chose NOT to publish) berated me for liking Crass and therefore being a hypocrit by eating hamburgers. Placing the fact that I am a vegetarian aside. Crass is (are) more about personal freedom and the right to chose than about browbeating their followers for eating meat. They might try to bring you around to their way of thinking, but I seriously doubt they would outcast anyone for doing things their own way. But on to you, Mr. Whitman... I do wish the four or five of you would use your own names and addresses if you're going

to write to us, because the style is becoming all too familar and repetitious. (ha ha ha) We are not "trendy" anarchists, anarchy is not a "trend", and we are not shoving our politics down anyone's throats. In one issu issue of our magazine we ran two articles centered on anarchy, neither by "resident" Savage Pink staffers, and that's it. If you're not interested in the topic, turn the page. If you find Savage Pink so "slimey,

MORE OVER->

On The Rack continued

low-life, moronic, etc." (valid statements all--I'm sure) then DON'T PICK IT UP!! As far as the Hot Club, the Autistics, and Bobby Startup--I lived in Florida from Oct of '79 to May of '81. Before that I WENT to the Hot Club, I SAW Robby Startup, I HEARD the Autistics. Just because someone was there at the beginning of a scene doesn't mean they are its savior. I never called Sir Startup a Mazi, I said East Side Club is a fascist organization. Get YOUR politics straight. The two are not synonyms. (Uhile a Mazi is a fascist, all fascists are not Nazis) Bobby Starup and the East Side do nothing at all for the current scene in Fhilly. They consistently book bands from out of town with only one main objective: M*C*N*E*Y!! Local talent is used only as a second string, or someting to fall back on when the shit hits the fan. As for Lee Paris, I have never said anything detrimental about him. He is a great supporter of the local scene and just an all-round wonderful guy.

As for Sadistic Exploits, I think they're great, I make no qualms about my totally biased support of them—take it or leave it. But don't write me letters to call them names. Address it to their faces or to PD Box 37.

Upper Darby, PA 19082.

Savage Pink has done nothing to ruin anything concerned with the music scene in Philly. You keep saying that but you never mention HOW. If expressing our opinions and giving other people the chance to express theirs (yourself included) is a crime to the music scene you want to be a part of, then you're on the wrong boat, kiddos. Life preservers are located for your convienience.

Dear Savage Pink:

I would like to take this opportunity to defend someone who everyone seems to want to attack lately. What's all this Nancy Exploit did this and that shit?? She has done more for the Philly scene than anyone any of us knows.

There have been two Punk Fests——TWO!!!
Without Mancy I'm sure there would
never have been even one. Two!!!
I say it's about time we stopped this
stupid, childish back—stabbing and
worked together to improve the scene.
Thanks, John



Dear Allison Raine:

does.

As an avid reader of your superb periodical, I can relate to your sophisticated choice of material. I mean, whenever I open up an issue of SAVAGE PINK, my nipples get hard as a rock. It has definately got to be the most sensual magazine of it's kind.

My favorite pastime is boarding a crowde El at rush hour and sticking a copy of your mag down my shorts. Now, if this don't turn you on, I don't know what

The way you staple each issue together, is in itself a sexual masterpiece. Did you know that I fantasize about making love to a tapedeck while you're typing up your next issue? Yes, I most certainly do.

If you ask me, you should have a scratch 'n'sniff issue. I'd buy about 13 copies

Well, gotta go, FLIGHT 90 is waiting.

Mel Toxic

Dear Mel: Not a bad idea, this scratch 'n'sniff....but scratch'n'sniff what? Robbie Exploit?? Wendy Williams?? Bobby Startup, maybe?????

----Ed

On

around

amming the rolling

it sl


Ther

OUT ON THE TOWN WITH SHIRLEY

I was all excited. There was actually something decent to do this weekend: Proteens and Seeds of Terror were playing at the Landmark on Saturday, February 20. Because the bands usually go on pretty early and its almost as cheap to drink at the bar as it is at home, my friends and I got there at about 10:00. The place was pretty crowded with plenty of familiar faces: Atlantic City girls, Atom Bomb from Decontrol and pal Joey, my fav punk Art, Exploits, Jersey boys, Sue, Ilene, Chuck, Victor, Excuses (minus Lisa and Brian who didn't make it four feet into the place before they were thrown out-what was that all about, I didn't see them do anything wrong?) and tons of others.

The Landmark is a truly great bar. Everyone is so laid back, the atmosphere is fun and casual - there's none of the usual pretention like at the East Side - it's more like a playground during school recess; everyone drops their acts and justs has a good time. And the music played kicks ass. Lots of Stiff Little Fingers. Its so danceable I found myself actually wishing they'd play a BAD song so I could sit down for a second.

The crowd was properly keyed up when SOT's came on. I literally fell in love with this band at the Action Ritual. They are really FUN! Tonite was no exception. It's great to see a band that is just into having a good time but I truly hope that their predictability doesn't cause them to become tired too soon. There's certainly no lack of talent in SOT, just maybe a lack of material. However, they can get away with it because what they miss in song development they make up for in energy. It's great to see a band in Philly with a sense of humor.



Finally the Proteens came on. These guys are perhaps the tightest band I've ever seen in Philly. I was totally blown away with their performance. They prove that every thing doesn't have to be fast to be good. They are EXTREMELY danceable and fun to watch. Their music is diversified, lyrics are interesting and at times thought provoking and get this - you can understand them too. Because this band has so much offstage - intelligent, determined and

talent and potential plus the way they are sincere, I can easily see them doing well and helping to put Philly on the map in the American music scene. Well I think I've just about canonized these guys for sainthood . . .

The rest of night was tons more great dancing music, and then when the P.A. was gone, the juke box was fired up. Allison and I were so revved we found ourselves dancing to Fox on the Run, Physical and Rick James and even that was great.

Finally the place was closing and they had to just about throw us out, still dancing. We left happy, dirty, bruised, and so keyed up we walked the 30 some blocks home.



ME?

I recently got a letter from a guy named Dantchrist who drummed for an english anarchy bend, the Apostles. (I write to him by a friend of a friend etc....sort of thing) At the bottom of his letter was a boot stomping on a Union Jack and American flag-and next to it, it said "fuck the system and other cliches". I think this saying is extremely true because, until lately, bands or friends of the bands were too 'stuck up' to talk or whatever. For us to achieve Anarchy we must communicate--as in correspondence --talk--exchange information and overall show and express our views. Anyone can do it by forming a band, printing 'zines and newsheets... all kinds of ways of doing it. If anyone feels like "lowering themselves" to do a zine and knows where one could be printed, please write to me at: Matt

> 131 Delaware Ave. Palmyra NJ 08065

It seems like so long ago, but the memory burns bright. January 30th, the Elk. The A8's Action Ritual. Five bands were assembled, four from hometurf--our hosts, Autistic Behavior; the legendary Excuses, Seeds of Terror, and Informed Sources. Who could possibly headline a bill like that, you ask? None other than the unstoppable Bad Brains.

It's really fucking awesome when you stand back and look at an event like the Action Ritual——something organized by a band who cared enough to get their shit together and present an opportunity for punks of all ages to go absolutely apeshit. I mean, upwards of 600 hardcore maniacs running around a huge hall like the Elk having the time of their life

sin't nothing to giggle about, now is it??

ng

I'm not going to give you my personal (and basically irrelevant) reviews of the bands. It was so long ago it doesn't matter to anyone but the bands and their mothers, anyway. Everyone was great, no one was disappointed--period. The only thing I'd like to recall is the beginning of the Bad Grains set, when I watched from high above the action--inside the projection room up in the rafters. Seeing that crowd from up there was like watching a sea of human muscle...flexing. Like I said, awesome.

Then I was there--the energy was unbelieveable. Funk is dead, HUH?

-----Raine



All photos KIM **LEWIS** DANIS














ESSAY

BY lee weber

The following essay was submitted. I think, basically as a challange to the open fire I called last issue. That is, I said I'd print anything that arrived on my doorstep. Part of the bait was (and I quote) "any length". Lee wrote that originally he had intended his manuscript as a publication of some sort, one that never became a reality. It's relevance I don't vouch for, the only reason it is being published here is as a fulfillment of that promise I made to publish anything. The greatest drawback is it's length—a little overbearing and tedious for a magazine like ours.

It's an interesting comment if you can get through it—a little too heady and theoretical (1.) for my tastes. Rather than submit something of this nature i would have much rather seen Lee apply his writing talents to something written specifically for a publication like ours. But I do thank you, Lee, and hope to hear from you in the future. Let's hope you've inspired some other people to write.

--Editor

and their alliances - deal with one another.

[et's telk about politics, shall we? [Everyone's <u>fovorite</u> subject.]

The first thing I should make clear is that by using the term
"politics" I am not referring to the activities of those pedestrianminded persons in suits and ties who claim to be our "leajers". I meen
to use the word in a more general some, in reference to the menner in
which the various components of society - from individuals to nations

Maying established this it is necessary to point out that the nature of those various deslines is determined by peoples' attitudes, which are in turn determined by virtually everything which constitutes our environment. And the singular political fact of our time in that nearly everything which works to affect our attitudes - television, the popular madia in weneral, the schools, poverment propagands, advertising, fashion, popular culture, organized religion, pear pressure, sto. - influences us in largely negative ways. All of these tend to discourage people from thinking or questioning, with the result that our minds our directed into the most restictive channels, producing a population of status—que supporting, conspicuously-consuming citizens who act out of ignorance and selfishness.

There are few elternatives to these haleful influences and parhaps chief amongst them is the arts, a traditional seems whereby inspired, reballious and/or visionary individuals communicate their perceptions to us, presumably to our benefit. But possibly the arts have been failing us lately; perhaps they always have. For if the purpose of the arts is inspire and elevate sankind, then we certainly might conclude that art has failed, since in spite of the great work of the past the bulk of

mankind romains irresolutely uninspired and unelevated. Currently all the divisions of art which are assumed to be at the forefront of elternative communication - literature, drame, munic, painting, cinema - seem to be floundaring.

Consider in this report the unenvisible position of that singular breed, the North American youth. It is a matter which should construte; it is to the young we must look to discern the shape of the future, and if there is to be any hearable future, if we expect to surmount the triess which presently afflict us and are likely to escalate in the coming decades, then it is necessary to see that young people are diverted from their sommambulant tendancies toward parsonal gain and self-pratification.

Cortainly the young are not generally known for willingly availing themsolves of the arts. As far as literature is concerned, most of them
tend toward the escapist and the trivial - comic books, Talkien, Reinlein,
Stephen King - anything undamending and intellectually simplistic. Pilmmakers who wish to take advantage of youthful affluence do so by resorting to simple-mindedness and deprayity. While half the world starves,
we are offered bloated multi-million dellar fantasies, comedies of mindnumbing stupidity, and most disturbing of all, a plethors of alsugaterhouse opics in which we are invited to revel in technicolar mutilations,
teheadings and eviscorations in which women, particularly, get the worst
of it.

As for the arts of painting and the theatre, these are penerally ignored by the young except for the miniscule est-school crowd.

That leaves us with music; in particular, rock music, traditionally the one area where young people can be exposed to alternative viewpoints and attitudes. (I choose not to discuss so-called "serious" music, as I feel most of it is just not serious enough, based as it is on a leth-century imperative which reduces it to little more than high-toned equarment for people who think they have good taste because they're not listening to "peopler" music.)

I realize that some readers will throw up their hands at the augcontion that rock-and-roll can influence or change anything. They affect to believe that the notion that rock music is snything more than disposable fun is a relic of the dead unfeshionable '60's.

Pino. Anyone who climes to that belief can leave off reading this article right now. Society has provided a great deal of sand for you to hide your head in so you enors your way to the apocalypse, and I certainly wouldn't want to disturb your slumber. Rowever, those of you who have open minds on the subject are invited to continue.

2

when the true sociological direction of the 1980's begins to emerge, it will prove to be very different from that of the 1970's. We are caught in an alternating cycle which we cannot escape as long as our environment is so thresteminally unstable. This cycle has been extent for some time now, but the events which fixed the pattern for good were those which occurred in the '30's and '40's: the aproad of Pasciam, the Holocaust, the 2nd World War (which failed to bring the worldwide peace which was hoped for) and the advent of the Romb, mankind's most inspired declaration of its desire for self-descretion.

There are two ways to respond to such horrors and what they imply shout our future; one attempts either to escape from them, or to confront and proveil over them. The pest several decades have seen us altermating between one reaction and the other, and like it or not we are about to enter a new phase; out of the frenetic escapism of the 1970's and into-.. What?

If we wish to get some idea we used only examine the warp and woof of the pattern as it has unfolded those past several decades. As many people have pointed out before, the first generation which grow up in the shadow of that famous mushroom cloud has lived its entire life



knowing that the human race had the capacity to destroy itself. This generation reached its majority in the 1960's and kicked up a bit of a fuse when it did. Perhaps you heard about it. But for the time being, I want to talk about the 1950's, the first decade after the one is which the bomb was dropped.

Prox our current perspective, America in the 1950's appears as a huge Disneyland of the mind: There's fatherly Walt on television, accompenied by sitcome, game shows, Westerns (and other calebrations of violence), insipid variety programs, interminable baseball games - images which summed up the prevailing mentality better than anyone at the time would have cared to admit; there's fatherly Ike in the White House with his sidekick dark-bearded Dick (plotting herrors to come); here's Joe McCarthy thewing up the landscape with improbable but ungerly received accusations.

Curiously enough the Bomb and its dreadful implications filled us not with apprehension but with a warped sort of confidence. While recial and environmental problems socked away on some remote back burner, this country's idea of coming to gripe with reality was seemingly to assume that all we had to do was oppose and pravail over that tugoboo Communism, and all would be well. An oversimplification, perhaps, but nevertheless the decade of the '50's will slways, remembered as one of completency, materialism and escapion.

Not without rumblings of discontent, however. One could mention, for example, the outlaw bixers, whose somewhat reactionary mode of rebellion supprested that the American Bream was not everybody's cup of Schlitz. Or one could site protest-oriented folk music and the buryconing Civil-Riphts movement. Or one could discuss rock-end-roll, which had something vaguely to do with youthful rebellion and a lot to do with youthful exuberance and vitality, and which incidentally concretized the idea of a youth culture by legitimizing the young's foelings and frustrations in a society where young people were not taken very seriously.

But I think the most important manifestation of discontent in this country was the Seat Generation. The beatnike represented a behavior and intellectual reaction to the stifling vacuity of American life in the post-wer era. They expoused no causes since all the causes of the past had apparently been discredited. The extent of their activism was the expression of their inquietude in literature: the writings of Jack Rerouge, Allen Gineberg, William S. Burroughs, John Chellon Solmos, Carl Solomon and others; visions of elienation, frustration, depay and despair; the expression of a desire to escape a trap by carving some sort of relatively painless alternative out of society. In Britain at the same time there was an enalogous movement, the Angry Young Men (John Gaborne, et al.). The major difference between the Boats and their counterparts in England was that while the bestniks were content to be excluded from the mainstream of society, the Angry Young Men still cared enough about society to look for a place for themselves within its structure. No conclusions will be drawn here about the worthiness of those movements; I wish instead to examine what occurred in their wake.

After 1960 a transitional stage developed, as the Civil-Riphts movement gained momentum and atudent activism began attracting attention. I note in passing that during this period rock-and-roll practically died; blvia was in the Army, Chuck Herry in Jail, Little Richard had quit to become a preacher, Jerry Lee Lewis had been hounded out of the business, Buddy Holly, Eddie Cochran and Richie Velene were dead, Gene Vincent was out of commission due to an automobile accident, and the music of the young was turned over to "teen idols" like Fabian and Frankie Avalon, who sang an adolescent version of the drawny middle-of-the-road pop noise that much of Adult America listened to. Popular culture was exemplified by dance crazes, commencing with the Twist and moving up to acceptable-for-adult-consumption varieties like the Bossa Nova. Polk music, often politically oriented, was the most relevant music for the young, not rock.

But this transitional stage was short-lived. In November of 1963

JPR was killed. Two months later the Bestles had their first hit record

In the U.S., and the 1960's really got under way - Vistness, hippies, drugs,
protests, riots, sessesinations, Woodstock, Altement - the whole liteny

which can be adequately susmemend to mind with just one phrase - "the

listics". The vital focus of the decade's tumult, and most shocking to

the minions of the status quo, was the rejection of "traditional values"

(i.e., those most favorable to the establishment) by middle-class youth.

The spark which gave impetus to this rejection was derived from the

minority subcultures of the '50's - the Bests and the Angry Young Man.

These cultures were transformed into the more activist subculture(s) of
the following decade in the transitional years between 1960 and 1964,
through the writings and presence of people like Kerousc, Ginsberg,
improughe and Neil Gageldy - an incluotable process going through its

Inevitable stages.

I don't intend to say much about the subculture of the '60's except to clear up some misconceptions in the minds of those who feel a Paylovian compulsion to dismise the hippie movement because of some Dick Tracy contexture they carry around in their heads. Please keep in mind that the people you see today with long hair and Grateful Dead t-shirts are not hippies. They are not rebelling syminat anything; they are simply conforming to a style which has become just another cozy component of the status que. The hippies subculture of the '60's made a number of mistakes but, at least until the sensation-lusting media made it into a sideshow in the latter years of the decade, it was the expression of a penuine dispust for the destructive, exploitive, greed-oriented apparent of society, and a bolief that the system could be altered if people constitued thomselves to an elternate lifestyle. (I will have more to any about the above-montioned mistakes leter.)

By the early '70's, of course, the subculture was all but in ruins.

***Pers had been shattered, and many were all too willing to respond to the

pressure to give up "Imprectical" ideals and settle for job security and

***Ideals place in society. Thus began enother transitional phase.

***In the Aquarian Age utopic envisioned by the more naive elements did not

***Irrive so hoped, a retreat into the past began to look more desirable.

The nostelgia fad which resulted was as much a decadent winding-down of

the proceding pouth culture as were the dance crazes of the early '60's.

***As before, the transitional phase was brief. Waterpate and the energy

crunch of the winter of '73-'74 both contributed to a general narvousness

which the deed-end infatuation with postelgia could not assume. Some
thing was called for which effered the divorced-from-reality qualities of

nostelgia but which was more finely tuned to the self-absorption of the

moment. Hence the appearance of the dominant subculture of the '70's
the Disco Culture.

Prom a musical standpoint there was nothing all that wrong with place, although since it was blatantly money-oriented, it quickly became formulaic and monotonous - a melf-perody of rhythm-and-blues with the cliches freeze-dried and the soul blasched out. From a sociological viewpoint, however, the Disco Gulture was infinitely depressing. It not only reflected but wellowed in - and to a great degree promoted - the most poisonous attitudes of the day - narcissism, completency, hedonism, elition, materialism. It was in complete opposition to the activist, onuse-oriented culture which had preceded it. Disco is not exactly dead, especially since the stitudes associated with it are still prevalent. But as it no longer threatens us with world domination, it appears that a new transitional stasm is upon us.

The pop-cultural phenomena of the moment would seem to be the Urban Couboys and the Meo-Proppies. The Couboy trend in fashion, music and political attitudes is not surprising since, like the dance crases and matered-down teem music of the early '60's, it represents the last bolob of the preveiling mentality of the preceding decade. It is simply Disco part II - the clothing and music are different but the mind-set is identical, being just as much a stereotypical macho fantesy and repre-

senting a similar refusal to live in the present and confront reality.

As for the Prepolenes - this would appear to be the acceptable feateny
for those who find the Urban Cowboy trance to be a ted too walpar. But
both of these trands should prove to be short-lived when the true sociological throat of the 1980's finally shows itself.

what I have been leading up to with this unlidy recitation of events past is this: as the dominant subculture of the activist '60's had its roots in the minority subculture of the escapist '50's; and the dominant subculture of the escapist '70's had its beginnings in the more narclassistic and naive elements of the '60's; so will the dominant subculture of the '80's (as we enter once more into an activist phase) have its roots in the minority subculture of the '70's. Hencely, Hew Maye Punk.

Punk has a prest deal in common with the Newl and Angry sevements (including a dichotomy between minitiatic Americans and their more political British counterparts) and will pass on its lapsey in such the same way. But instead of literature being the medium which expresses the key ideas , it will be rock-and-roll: the music of the Clash, the Sex Fistols, fil., Gang of Four. Patti Smith, Joy Division, killing Joke, Sicurate and the Banchees, the Jam, the Fop Group, KTC, the Dead Kennedye — to mention only some of the musicians whose approach is likely to provoke a thoughtful response. (At least in those listeners the arc capable of thought in the first place.) Not only to rock sustementalikely to be attended to by young people than the written word, but It hits harder, is more accessible and less insular. Consequently when the ideas dealt with in this music take hold, the movement which results is likely to be all that much larger.

And ruale does change people. The activism of the young in the '60's was only in a small way inspired by demonstrations, alonguaring or underground publications. It was the music of the Schiles, Dylan, the Stones, the Who, Fhil Ochs, Hendrix, the Doors, the syrds, the Prince proups and others which was most responsible for presenting large execute of the young with elternative viewpoints.

share of mirplay and sales today are not concerned with change or e)ternatives. All the Poreigners and Van Holons and Pat Banatoca and Billy Joels and the rest of the purveyors of mainstronm capitalist pro music merely cater to their audience's preconceptions. Their music is sarmantly repressive and is simed at a naturally reactionary constituency. Sut the more serious bands are getting across, and attracting now listeners all the time. Some of these listeners, of course, will not respond in any meaningful way, but meny of them will. And keep in mind that it does not take particularly large groups of people to constitute a significant movement. Even during the peak years of the '60's only a small minority of the population were actually involved in challenging the system. Yet this small socal segment same to signify the forward momentum of the entire decade.

Tauppose I should acknowledge at this point what many renders not to thinking. Wean't the "revolution" of the '60's a failure?

Yes, to the extent that the new attitudes were not widespread enough or held with sufficient conviction to actually institute any lessing thangs. In fact, if anything, things are far worse now then before. But is a previous failure any resson not to try again? Is it worth it to you to die in a nuclear war or a food riot, or of poisoned air or a sufper's bullet, just so you can sit back and maintain a pose of enug complacement?

What the efforts of the '60's did accomplish was to show that the Young can be potten to. They can be made to question the existing order and encouraged to look for other ways of doing things. That's marely the first step, and there's no reason why we can't take it a step or two further over the next decade.

Ensides which, it's almost certain to happen anyway, no matter who tries to stop it. There are built-in sociological forces at work which people are barely sware of. The self-obsession and mean-spiritedness of the 170% did not make anything better or anyone happier. Just the fact that we have recently passed from one decade to another is enough to

throw a silent switch in many peoples, minds, and whether they reslice
it consciously or not, they are going to be assking new directions.

And whatever direction they settle on, it is likely to be as different
from that of the '70's as the direction of the '70's was from that of
the '60's.

3

There things take time. But in the meentime, I'd say we were in approximately the same situation on in the early '60's. We've got a bohemien subculture similar to the less denoration, and in certain New Wave bands. and in regree, we've got a political music which corresponds to the protest music of the early '60's. Currently this subculture is unfocused and largely composed of people who are all too willing to let themselves be ripped-off. The present "scene", in Philadelphie at least, is far too concerned with fashion and the trends of the sement. It's nice to see the wide variety of sertorial approaches on view at the East Side Club and elsewhere; one only hopes that what is in peoples' minds is as varied and imaginative. But the bigger this thing gets, the more the fashion and of it will come to resemble fashion in the straight world, where over-rich parasitic "designers" get richer by exploiting credulous fools who think they must eltend to the latest "creations".

Have you ever noticed the parallels between fashion and fascism? Both of them exploit people' Insecurity and gullibility so well as their innate desire to feel superior to others, whether those others are black or Jevish or whatever, or whother they simply wear the "wrong" clothes or liston to the "wrong" music. Reed I point out that involvement in accepting just because it's fachionable doasn't show much individuality or intolligence? What we have developing here is the option for altermative lifestyles, and one chooses alternatives either out of conviction, technic it's the right or more sensible choice (which is a political Anolaion), or because it's the "cool" thing to do (which is a feshionprishled decision). Sooner or later everyone who is involved in this is going to have to decide whether they are going to act out of conviction, or out of a need to be even as "cool" in other peoples" eyes. We all know that fashion is transitory. It does flip-flops when your back is turned. If we opt for the fashionable approach, then this "arens" is not going to last very long; a few years from new it will be dond, the trendoids will have scrambled onto the next bandwagen, and anyone who simits to liking the Paychedelio Furs will be inughed at. Pul convictions, if they are genuine, are more permanent. If we act out of a political awareness of the implications of what is happening, then the "scene" will not only last, but it will actually mean something. It will in fact cases to be just a "scena" - it will become a true movement, with all that the word implies. Not just a frozen tableau with people in repose wearing trendy clothes (which is what the word "scene" suggests), but people thinking, sating, working toward some goal.

he for an the music is concerned, things look to be dragging a bit recently. In particular, the kind of wasdy pop that has been coming out of Britain lately isn't worth much support. It really looks us if things here gone full sirole over there. Does anyone actually believe that groups like Soft Cell or Classia Housess are any more relevant than Yes or MLD? Compered to the impassioned, conviction-testing music of the Pictols, the Clash, Geng of Four and others like them, the output of groups like Spandau Bellet shrinks to a pinpoint of ineignificance, And just why are American groups being overlooked? For the first time In years the most interesting work is being done stateside, but you wouldn't know that from what's played in the slubs or on the radio. This is not meant to be taken for any jingoistic chast-thumping; on the controry, the virulent strain of capitalism which obtains in this country will ensure that most of the best U.S. bands will never reach the wider audience they deserve. But there's no reason why anyone should show any excitement over stuff like Duran Duran when there are



bends like the Bush Tetres, Pylon, X, Homeo Void, Haterial, Wall of Yoodoo, MX-80 Sound, the Urban Verbs and the Hebylon Dance Band right here in our own country (not to mention some fine local bands).

I also have difficulty understanding the hipper-than-thou types who think that they can't like groups like the Clash or the 8-52's now that these bands have become well-known. These people can only like the bands which they have been given permission to like by the local fashion-mongers. And I suppose it must seem a lot easier to let some everweening ego on the radio tell you what you can end can't like than it is to think for yourself. But it's your brain, and if you let yourself be led around by the nose by the alleged trend-setters, then you might as well find a pasture and start chowing grass with the rest of the sheep.

4

At this point I think it's appropriate to start dealine with some of the mistakes that I mentioned earlier; those which were committed by the previous generation and should be avoided by this one.

I'm fully sware of the possibility that many of the people reading this, particularly those under 25, may not have the slightest idea what I'm talking about in this section. My impression is that many How Wavers are not so much wrestling with politics as with the question of whether or not they even want to think about it in the first place. But if the following does not make sames to you now, then just shore this in with your old NME's and WY Rockers and pull it out sawin three or four years (row now. All will become clear.

Mistake \$1. "I'm going to join the system and work for change from within." What a joke that one turned out to be! It made as much sonse as the idea of an American G.I. joining the Mazia during WW II "to work for change from within". The system is the enemy and has built-in ways of making sure that anyone who joins it ands up working for it. This is the most important reason the movements of the '60's fell spart. Just get yourself comfortably ensconced within the system and start living the life of the fat cats you presumably oppose, and soon the notion will begin to sound in the back of your mind: "Hey, I'm comfortable! Why should I rock the boat?" Thus do the most lofty ideals, the most ironcled convictions crumble into dust. Bewere!

Mistake 42. Paying too much attention to "leaders", particularly the ones the media set up for you. During the '60's our "leaders" were supposedly people like Abbie Roffman, Jerry Rubin, Timothy I mary and Eldridge Cleaver. With people like that at the helm it's no wonder the ship sank. Although we may be coming desgerously close to having "leaders" on the local scene, so far no such thing has arisen on the national or international level, and we should hope it stays that way. Be your own leader; set your own standards.

Mistake #3. Violence. I suppose I'm jumping the gun on this locus (no pun intended) but we'll probably have to deal with this eventually. I'm talking about violence sgainst the state, authority figures, etc. It may be a natural impulse considering what they do to you, but it's futile. The mattering of so-celled "revolutionary" violence in the '60's and '70's served only to turn people who would normally have supported the movement against it. But the overriding reason for avoiding it is that by indulging in violent behavior, your playing their game, and you'll never be able to do it as well as they do. They would love to have an excuse to smeah your face in, so don't give them one.

Mistake #4. Making unnecessary enemies. We have real enemies to deal with but I trust I meedn't tell you who they ere. But I would caution against finding enemies where none exist. The enemy is not white, black, male, female, old, young, gay, straight, American, Bussian, etc. All the various "-ism's" which arise from such assumptions only divide people and turn them against each other, while our real enemies exploit us all. And if there's one division I'd really like to see erseed it's this left-vs.-right nonsense. Terms like "leftlet", "rightest", "libersl", "conservative", are totally outmoded. If you

think of yourself in this manner it's like pointing your mind into a corners you end up reading The Mational Review or The New Republic just to find out what to think. No significant political movement will ever emerge until people realise that the issues are too complex to be viewed from a knew-jerk liberal or conservative party line. All of the somewhat descriptions of both the left and the right are based on resentments and old wounds that go back 60 years or more. We weren't even second than, so we'd be better off ignoring them.

And while I'm on the subject of mistakes, I could tose in a mention here about the inappropriate symmetrism of traditions. One of the dumbest things about the *60's was the spectacle of middle-class young people becoming involved in Transcendental Meditation and other Enstern philosophies, which eventually led to involvement with occulties. This sort of thing could happen again. Reggse is admirable from a musical and political standpoint, but the religion behind it has no place here, If middle-class American white hids start adopting Restaferianism, I'll be ready to give up.

And how about drugs? I don't suppose there's all that much point in discussing them. The fact that they are dangerous dertainly doesn't stop anyone from taking them, anymore than it stops use of sloohol or tobacco. I guess users figure that fatal 0.D.'s are something that only happens to other people, or perhaps it's just a ritualized form of suicide. Perhaps a self-destructive attitude is endemic to the current "neems". We've lost a number of musicions to drugs and suicide already and we're sure to loss more. Maybe we'll lose you, too.

5

meaningful way, then whatever culture predominates will have to take a stend against all of the attitudes that the culture of the '70's oloried in. It will have to be anti-narcissistic, anti-completent, anti-hadonistic, anti-meterialistic, anti-elitiat. Can you accept that? If not you will probably not last out the movement. Ten years from now you will probably be just like the old hippies - just another supporter of the status que, another cog in the big machine. If you want to avoid that fate, you should be giving consideration to these matters now.

The revolution of the '60's was largely cultural, but avon a successful cultural resciution is insufficient. We need an ethical revolution, and an intellectual one, as well. We must appreciate the difference between right and wrong, and discerning the difference takes some thought. Law books and conturies old religious documents are not the place to look for ethical principles appropriate to here and now. You will have to look to your own experience, As for those of you who don't like the sound of that phrase "intellectual revolution", who find anti-intellectualism to be a feablionable pose; too bad. Anti-intellectualism is probably the most desporate kind of fascism, the refuge of people who are so unused to thinking that the very idea of thought fills them with something like supernatural dread. If you rebel at the concept of using your brain for its intended purpose, then you might as well its down. Bocause you're dead.

There's little more to say at this point except to repeat that we will have to wait several years before anything really happens. But in a fer years a new phase will be upon us and the current landscape will be much different, perhaps unrecognizably so. The trendy clothes will disappear into the least accessible parts of your closet and the jive bands will break up or be absorbed into the top 40 where no-one will take them seriously. But the ideas which are being kicked around now should eventually take root. As for what we do in the meantime, I suggest we give some consideration to what we support with our time and money. Not everything which clamors for your attention is worth supporting. There's no resson to contribute to a corrupt economic system just because everyone also does. There's no excuse for supporting trash and madness. Above all, have more respect for your own mind. The more garbage you take into your brain, the less good you're going to be for anything. And you just may be needed. You may be the one who makes all the difference. (the end)

By Eves Prop + Chastity B.

BLITZ**NEVER SURRENDER

BYW RAZLIRS IN THE NIGHT If you liked the first Blitz single, you'll feast on this record. They've tightened up their sound and for some reason they sound a little fresher on this disc. Flus they have a better sleeve design this time, instead of their ugaly ass faces. (Just kinding quys) Extra points for being on NO FUTURE records.

secret records

leased Jet Boy Jet Girl i would have gladly castrated them. This single is disgustingly over produced. . blah lah blah enough to buy the album when it comes out. DEN'T EUY THÍS!

CHRLP GENT* JET ELY JET GIAL P/W ABURTIUNS & SUBWAY SADIST ** PAZORS IN THE PHOTO I may have loved these guys on their tape, but when I found out they reslag, crucify etc. However, I still like them

FINGERFRINTZ**BEAT NOIR*** stiff records

I really reallly like this record--fuck disco, fuck funk. This shit is dancable as hell. Side two is unbeatable--"Catwalk" is probably my fave right now although I was in love with "Get Civilised" and "Touch Jenee" last week. I could try and discrible the music for you but it's so unique I'd get a headache trying. These guys have really got something going and I hope they keep it up--one of the few "civilised" records I can listen to. Pusic to soothe the savage breast. +Chastity

CHRCM GEN**LIVE AT THE LEIGESTER CHAOS TAPES

I fell in love with these guys the first time I heard them (Puppets of War EP) then again I was overwhelmed with the second single. They have great music, raw and sharp, with words that have a definate bite. This tape proved to me that I'm still in love with this group, but that it's kind of changing due to some of the very weak tracks on here. ("LSD", "Living Next Door to Alice", "Rockabill") These songs are really missing the normal cahrge that Chron Gen put into most of their material. Otherwise, the sound quality of the tape is excellent, and the stand outs are obvious. (Everything, except for the ones I slegged) BUY IT

CASSETT **RUIR PRAINS 0

hardco the stuff nd the bigo This tape is fucking killer, hoverpowering energy. I put the I try to wake up and BAMMM, I' Had to list the standouts on to couldn't, because everything i few exceptions——all the rengae lackluster compared to the stuplay at the Ritual. And the b

DEDER SURREDDER.

over pleu

pretty and tight, energetic, a message. Looks 00 sounds BAD BRAINS CONTINUED knocked me sloppy "Fay to Cum", intended) and sette just | they're ti sund ou) Overall

BAD BRAINS (ROIR CASSETTE A106) L TO R: DARRYL, DR. KNOW, EARL, H.R.

DIRT**GEJECT REFUSE REJECT REUSE*** This one is really great--nice Male and female vocal, Crass Records with an important couple of messages The girl sounds a lot Honey Hane used to and that's a compliment. in the wall of noise, feedback vein, killer sleeve. FUCKING PLY IT!!!

FLESHTONES**BLAST ROIR CASSETTE

this tape

r a while. born male

around for

they'll

ynur first

+Eves

I first heard the Fleshtones on Marty Thau's "2x5" compliation, and I thought they were a real standout. then, at a new year's eve gig in New York where I was a little dimappointed by their performance, but still went out afterwards to by their "Up Front" 12". That I found was not quite as good as the tracks on "2x5". This tape may be my answer. Recorded in 1978 for Red Star Records but never released. this is the band I heard but couldn't find. The Fleshtones play a kind of sixties/eighties kind of psycotic dance music--fun music. It's the kind of stuff that should balst out of car windows in the summer.

Can you hear the American sound? Have you heard the American sound? Don't wanna hear you put it down ...

Yeah, AM radio material. The Fleshtones are fun, and I reccommend them to anyone heading for the shore this SUMMET.



YELLD***BOSTICH*** stiff records

Yello are from Switzerland. I didn't think they had music in Switzerland. Yello is a dance band, a little too elecric disco for me, but good stuff to shake your booty to , I guess. Give 'em a listen and you be the judge. +Chastity



" TRAPPED IN A FAD "

I created a style
The style that everyone wears and everyone shares


It's time for a change
Because everyone looks like everyone else
except the names are changed

I'm one of a kind with an infinite number of replicas

My cause is a lost one cause everyone takes it to mean what they want it to mean

TRAPPED IN A FAD
AND YOU'LL NEVER GET OUT
TRAPPED IN A FAD
AND YOU'LL NEVER GET OUT

I'LL make a new style
But as history repeats we'll all get trapped in a fad again



ORGANIZE? DEMONSTRATE? PARTICE APATE? (written statement , steal back your freedom)

TODAY is Feb 3rd. I went to school came home and started typing this piece of shit. After reading 2 good zines "SCUM" and "PIGS FOR SLAUGHTER", i have come to the conclusion that there are to many fakes who pretend to be anarchists.

I am an anarchist and i don't need anyone to tell me that, i have no label i am not a "punk" or anything of the sort i am ME. looking stupid is not my way of "s mashing the state"

By now M*A*5*H is on funny show it's not a funny t.v. show, it deals with the realities of war..

And now i got the & hour of M*A*S*H out of the way (IT*S A FULL HIT SHOW) We should face the facts-WAR

DEATH

rEAR. makes the world go around.

PY mat the ratt palmyra n.j.

THAT UNTIL THERE ARENO LONGER


1st. CLASS AND 2nd. CLASS CITIZEN OF ANY VALION

UNTIL THE COLOUR OF A MAN SKIN IS OF NO MORE SIGNIFICANCE

THAN THE COLOUR OF HIS EYES.* -written by A. COLETC.BARRETT

-PERFORMED BY BOB MARLEY AND THE WAILERS

P.S. I would suggest to who ever reads this to listento the records STATIONS OF THE CRASS-by CRASS(don'tonly refer to this as your only means of subversion- read up on some facts!!)



Commission	

